# JUDGE DONOVAN'S DISCLOSURE STATEMENT REVIEW AND APPROVAL PROCEDURE

Judge Donovan requires the use of court-approved Forms 3017-1 and 3018-1 for disclosure statements and plans, unless otherwise specifically allowed.

We employ the following system for reviewing disclosure statements:

When we receive a disclosure statement and (usually) objections, the law clerk looks over the papers and drafts a memorandum of decision outlining all the significant objections, her/his own objections, and her/his recommended response to each objection. The judge reviews the papers and the draft, makes a decision on each objection, adds his own, and inserts an overall comment as to the general quality of the proponent's proposal along with instructions for remediation and further hearing. The direct participants are notified by phone and fax by the law clerk. Usually, no hearing is necessary, though no reasonable request for a face-to-face hearing has been denied. Rarely, Judge Donovan requires a face-to-face hearing. After the hearing date, the memorandum of decision is signed, entered, and mailed out.

Generally, this procedure seems to be considerably less time consuming and more helpful to the participants than discussing the objections and proposed changes at a hearing. In our experience, this system has led to faster, more effective, and economical disclosure statement approval and plan confirmation.

Attached is an example of a memorandum of decision announcing (1) strong disapproval and (2) that an order to show cause will be issued based on substantive deficiencies in the proposed disclosure statement. Names of the parties, the case number and dates have been altered or redacted to avoid embarrassing anybody.

Occasionally, unfortunately, a proposed disclosure statement is an unmitigated disaster. In that event, we may reject the disclosure statement outright without a detailed memorandum of decision but simply with an order containing instructions for remediation and an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed or converted.

12/17/02

1	NOTE: This is a copy of a recently entered disclosure statement memorandum of decision. Docket numbers, names of parties and dates have been changed to avoid embarrassing anybody.		
2	Altered or deleted names and numbers are		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		I	
11	In re	Case No. LA[]TD	
12	[ABC DEVELOPERS, INC.]	Chapter 11	
13		MEMORANDUM OF DECISION RE: THE DEBTOR'S ORIGINAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT; AND	
14 15	Debtor.	ORDER	
16 17		DATE: [], 1999 TIME: 11:00 a.m. PLACE: Courtroom 1345	
18		CONTINUED HEARING DATE:	
19		DATE: [], 1999 TIME: 11:00 a.m. PLACE: Courtroom 1345	
20		TEXOL. Odditiooni 1040	
21	The Debter filed a voluntary chapter 1	1 notition on [	
22	The Debtor filed a voluntary chapter 11 petition on [		
23	asset real estate case and the Debtor has proposed a Plan of Reorganization (plan). The		
24	court has received objections to approval of the Debtor's Original Disclosure Statement		
25	(disclosure statement) from the Office of the United States Trustee (OUST), and from two		
26	secured creditors: the [County] and the [Bank]. These		

3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

objections convincingly reflect that the disclosure statement is seriously deficient.

Section 1125 governs approval of a disclosure statement. Section 1125(b) states that

[a]n acceptance or rejection of a plan may not be solicited . . . from a holder of a claim or interest with respect to such claim or interest, unless, at the time of or before such solicitation, there is transmitted to such holder the plan or summary of the plan, and a written disclosure statement approved after notice and a hearing, by the court as containing adequate information.

Section 1125 governs approval of a disclosure statement. Section 1125(a)(1) provides:

"adequate information" means information of a kind, and in sufficient detail. as far as is reasonably practicable in light of the nature and history of the debtor and the condition of the debtor's books and records, that would enable a hypothetical reasonable investor typical of holders of claims or interests of the relevant class to make an informed judgment about the plan.

The court finds the disclosure statement to lack adequate information and hereby continues the matter to afford the Debtor an opportunity to amend and improve upon the content of the disclosure statement, as well as the plan. Aside from the detailed corrections that need to be made pursuant to the objections sustained as outlined below, the disclosure statement needs to present to creditors a more comprehensive, objective view of the factors that will have an effect on any prospective reorganization.

## Objections of the OUST

The OUST does not believe that the disclosure statement contains "adequate information". All of the OUST's objections are sustained and the disclosure statement must be amended in light of these objections.

- The technical errors and inconsistencies in the disclosure statement need to be amended.
- 2. The disclosure statement should be amended to explain why the Debtor filed its bankruptcy petition in the Central District of California, despite the fact that it operates property located in [a distant state].

in management of the Debtor under the plan, if any, needs to be fully disclosed in a comprehensive manner, as opposed to the present piecemeal fashion. The Debtor also needs to explain [John Doe's] past and present relationship to the Debtor and any related entities in order to assist creditors to better understand why [John Doe] has refused to provide certain financial statements to the Bank and to sign certain documents for extension of the Bank loan.

4. The disclosure statement should be amended to include the definition of

3. The status of [John Doe's] ownership interest in the Debtor and his involvement

- The disclosure statement should be amended to include the definition of "Effective Date".
- 5. The disclosure statement must be amended to explain, specifically, why Classes Three and Four are "not impaired".
- 6. Disclosure is required regarding whether the security deposits, which are listed as priority unsecured claims pursuant to §507(a)(6), have been segregated, the exact amount of such security deposits collected, as well as the exact amount of such claims. The court adds to the OUST's objection that the disclosure statement should provide the language of [distant] state law that will determine the payment of such claims.
- 7. The description of the treatment of Class Six needs to be amended to indicate whether payments are to be monthly, quarterly, annually or some other time interval.
- 8. The Debtor is proposing to cram down on non-consenting classes. If more than 50% of Class Six agrees to receive payment of 60% of their claim, then that whole class would be paid 60% of their claim. At the same time, interest holders (Class Seven) retain 100% of their interest. This appears to violate the absolute priority rule. The disclosure statement and the plan must account for this possible violation and the disclosure statement must explain to creditors, in a clear, comprehensible fashion, what the violation is and whether and how the Debtor's plan is feasible and confirmable despite the violation.
  - 9. The partnership administration fee is disclosed to be \$12,500 each quarter. The

disclosure statement should describe what services are provided entitling [X Properties, Inc. (XP)], the Debtor's general partner, to this fee. Also, the disclosure statement should clarify that [XP] will suspend its "administrative fee" until all creditors are paid in full.

- 10. Classes Two and Three are undersecured. The plan appears to treat them differently than other unsecured creditors, absent the 1111(b) election. This is a violation of §1122(b) and must be addressed and/or amended in both the plan and the disclosure statement.
- 11. The disclosure statement needs to discuss the circumstances of all of the notes and amounts due and how they will be treated under the plan. Specifically, the balance sheet dated 9/30/97 lists as a liability a note due to "GP" in the amount of \$70,000 which is not included in the plan and is not disclosed anywhere in the disclosure statement. The same is true for the \$100,000 listed as a liability owed to "Affiliates". The identity and nature of the relationship(s) of the "Affiliates" to the Debtor also should be disclosed. To the extent that any amounts have been paid to these apparently related persons in the year prior to filing, those amounts also should be disclosed.
- 12. Both the disclosure statement and the plan need to address the calculation and payment of post-confirmation quarterly fees and clearly state who the responsible parties for payment will be.
- 13. The Debtor indicates that any shortfall of necessary funds will be made up from a loan by [XP]. There needs to be a discussion of [XP's] financial condition and the wherewithal of [XP] to make those loans. In addition, under §723, the chapter 7 trustee has certain rights against the general partner. Therefore, the assets and liabilities of [XP] must be disclosed and considered in any liquidation analysis.

## **Objections of the County**

The County's objection to the interest rate of 6% on payment of its claim pursuant to the plan is sustained. However, the County's suggestion of an interest rate of 10% will not

be imposed on the Debtor by the court absent evidence that 10% is a reasonable rate.

### **Objections of the Bank**

The Bank has taken the position that the court should deny approval of the disclosure statement because it fails to provide "adequate information" and is premised on an unconfirmable plan. The Bank's objections with respect to the disclosure statement's failure to provide adequate information are sustained and must be addressed by the Debtor in amending the disclosure statement.

- 1. The Debtor must completely describe the low-income housing tax credit program, as well as the Form 8609 Low Income Housing Credit Allocation Certification (Form 8609), and disclose the impact of both on the plan. Accordingly, there must be full disclosure of the Debtor's past and present status with respect to such tax credits and issuance of the Form 8609, as well as the probability that the Debtor will become eligible for use of such credits and/or the Form 8609. A complete and coherent explanation of any disputes or problems involving the Debtor, which have or will affect the tax credits and/or issuance of the Form 8609 is also required. More specifically, the Debtor must address the allegations made by the Bank with respect to these issues and why the Bank believes the Debtor has been precluded from obtaining permanent financing to date.
- 2. Considering that the heart of the plan is the Debtor's ability to obtain a permanent "take out" loan, the Debtor has failed to disclose sufficient information to permit creditors to make a reasoned decision concerning plan feasibility or whether the plan provides for an adequate means of implementation or to evaluate whether confirmation is likely to be followed by liquidation of the need for future financial reorganization. The court concurs strongly with this objection and will not approve the disclosure statement absent coherent and full disclosure of such critical information.

The Debtor must amend the disclosure statement to provide all information regarding the procedure to obtain such a loan, as well as what the Debtor has done and/or

 will do to obtain such a loan and why the Debtor believes that it will succeed in doing so. Moreover, the disclosure statement must set forth, in detail, a time line for the steps the Debtor will take to obtain the loan and what will happen if the Debtor fails to do so by a definite date. The disclosure statement must clarify who the "back-up take-out lenders" are. The Debtor alleges to have "arranged to pursue" with such lenders if the loan with [ABC Mortgage Co.] falls through. The disclosure statement also must set forth whether and why the Debtor will be able to perform under such loan (or any loan, for that matter), in light of the Debtor's history of defaults under present loans.

- 3. The disclosure statement must explain in detail how the Debtor will raise between \$400,000 and \$700,000 in additional equity to secure "take-out" financing from a new source. In order for creditors to estimate the feasibility and possibility of success of this plan, complete and specific disclosure of the following is required: who are the securities brokers and investors who gave the Debtor a "positive response about refinancing options and further syndication of the tax credits to raise this additional equity" and exactly what were their comments; who will further syndicate the tax credits; whether existing equity holders or other investors will purchase the tax credits; and any current commitments to infuse additional capital.
- 4. References in the disclosure statement to different fair market valuations of the subject property must be supported by admissible evidence.
- 5. The disclosure statement must be further supplemented with historical and projected financial information concerning the Debtor and, specifically, the operating income and expenses of the subject property.

#### **Additional Comments of the Court**

1. The supporting affidavit of [Sam Smith] is fragmentary, piecemeal, evasive and inadequate. All factual statements in the disclosure statement must be supported by a complete, unqualified declaration executed by the principal(s) of the Debtor under penalty

of perjury .

- 2. The Debtor should fully disclose the nature of the County's secured claim.
- 3. The disclosure statement must be amended to clearly state the full amount of each claim.
- 4. Exhibits B, C and D are incomplete and confusing. The exhibits must be amended to provide accurate information that is consistent with the information provided in the body of the disclosure statement.
- 5. All pages of the disclosure statement, including attached exhibits, must be numbered consecutively at the bottom of each page pursuant to Local Rule 1002-1(4)(c).
- 6. The Debtor failed to satisfy the notice requirements for approval of a disclosure statement pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(b)(1). The Debtor must comply with all notice requirements for future hearings.

#### Conclusion

The Debtor is required to amend the disclosure statement pursuant to the				
aforementioned rulings. Furthermore, in light of the serious substantive deficiencies				
outlined above, an order to show cause will be entered by the court. The hearing on				
approval of the Debtor's disclosure statement and the status conference hearing are				
continued to [,] 1999 at 11:00 a.m. The Debtor is directed to file and serve both				
red-lined and clean copies of its First Amended Disclosure Statement on the OUST, the				
Bank and the County, and to provide conformed courtesy copies delivered to chambers no				
later than [				
shall be filed, with conformed courtesy copies to chambers, no later than [,]				
1999.				
DATED: [				

THOMAS B. DONOVAN
United States Bankruptcy Judge

1		
2		ORDER
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	DATED: [	
7		/s/
8		THOMAS B. DONOVAN United States Bankruptcy Judge
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		